

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/666,335	09/22/2003	Francesco Borrelli	BORRELLI2A	8379
1444 7590 06/02/2008 BROWDY AND NEIMARK, P.L.L.C. 624 NINTH STREET, NW			EXAM	IINER
			SPECTOR, LORRAINE	
SUITE 300 WASHINGTO	N, DC 20001-5303		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	.,		1647	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/02/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s) BORRELLI ET AL.					
10/666,335						
Examiner	Art Unit					
Lorraine Spector, Ph.D.	1647					

 Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address – Reply
WHICH - Extens after S - If NO	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 2 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. one of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled provided for reply as specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. To reply with present of the reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. To reply with the set or catendade period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (SU SL. S. § 133).
Any re	to tepty willind the sort extended period on tepty witt, by statute, cause the approximation to become Advanced to 35 0.5.0., § 153), by received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1,704(b).
Status	
1) 🛛 F	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 February 2008.
2a)⊠ ⁻	This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
3)□ 5	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is
<i>,</i> —	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Dispositio	n of Claims
4)🛛 (Claim(s) <u>20-24</u> is/are pending in the application.
4	a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) 🗌 (Claim(s) is/are allowed.
6)🛛 (Claim(s) <u>20-24</u> is/are rejected.
7) 🗌 (Claim(s) is/are objected to.
8) 🗌 (Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Application	n Papers
9)□ ⊤	he specification is objected to by the Examiner.
10)□ T	he drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
F	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11)□ T	he oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority ur	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119
12) 🗌 A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)[] All b)
	I. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2	Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No
3	B. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
* Se	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
Attachment(·
1) Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)

1) 🔲	Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2)	Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
	and the second s

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SE/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.

) 🗌	Interview Summary (PTO-413))
	Paper No(s)/Mail Date	
. \Box	Maria attack mark Datas Aces	٠.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application
6) Other:

Art Unit: 1647

DETAILED ACTION

Examiner O'Hara is no longer in charge of this application. All future correspondence should be addressed to Examiner Lorraine Spector, in Art Unit 1647.

Claim Status

Claims 20-24 are pending and under consideration.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 20-24 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for a pharmaceutical composition comprising a TNF antagonist, does not reasonably provide enablement for a pharmaceutical composition comprising a TNF antagonist and either hCG, LH or FSH. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims. This rejection is maintained for reasons of record. In adddition, in reviewing the literature, the Examiner has determined that there are significant differences between mild to moderate endometriosis and severe endometriosis. The specification makes no distinction between the two, nor are there ANY working examples of the claimed invention. Therefore, there is no guidance as to the relative amounts of the active agents that should be used, nor how to use them; how they should be administered, and over what time periods. It is well known that use of FSH, LH and hCG can cause ovarian hyperstimulation. The effects of those hormones in combination with TNF inhibitors cannot be predicted, and would require

Application/Control Number: 10/666,335

Art Unit: 1647

undue experimentation. Further, the composition would have to vary depending upon how the infertility was to be treated; whether the desired effect were merely ovarian stimulation (as is achieved with clomide), or ovarian hyperstimulation, as is practiced for in vitro fertilization.

Applicants traversal in the paper submitted 2/19/2008 has been fully considered but not deemed persuasive for reasons that follow:

It is noted in the response filed 2/19/08 that applicants indicated that several references were submitted with the response. No such references were received. It is noted that at least two of the references were published well after the effective filing date of 1999. Such references cannot be used to establish the state of the art as of the time the invention was "made". The third, Kemmann et al., was not available to the Examiner electronically. Accordingly, no arguments based on those references can be considered. In addition, applicants are arguing that papers that involve the *surgical* removal of endometrial tumors are probative of results expected with administration of TNF inhibitors, a presumption for which there is no scientific support or evidence. The mechanisms are completely different, as are the timelines for results (surgery being immediate, anti-TNF administration taking longer), and thus one would not expect one to be predictive of the other.

The specification teaches at page 12:

The TNF antagonist can be administered prophylactically or therapeutically to an individual prior to, simultaneously or sequentially with other therapeutic regimens or agents (e.g. multiple drug regimens), in a therapeutically effective amount, in particular for the treatment of infertility. TNF antagonists that are administered simultaneously with other therapeutic agents can be administered in the same or different compositions. In particular, when infertility is the endometriosis associated disorder intended to be cured, biologically active human chorionic gonadotrophin (hCG), luteinizing hormone (LH) or follicle stimulating hormone (FSH), either in a natural highly purified or in a recombinant form, can be administered. The presumed mechanism of administering the claimed composition would be that the anti-TNF molecule would shrink the endometrial tissue, and the hormones would stimulate ovulation.

However, there is no guidance as to how to co-administer the proteins to achieve the desired effect. Such determination would require undue experimentation, especially as applied to humans, who are clearly the intended subjects.

Application/Control Number: 10/666,335 Page 4

Art Unit: 1647

Conclusion

No claim is allowed

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Lorraine M. Spector. Dr. Spector can normally be reached Monday through Friday, 9:00 A.M. to 3:00 P.M. at telephone number 571-272-0893.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, please contact the Examiner's supervisor, Dr. Manjunath Rao, at telephone number 571-272-0939.

Certain papers related to this application may be submitted to Technology Center 1600 by facsimile transmission The faxing of such papers must conform with the notices published in the Official Gazette, 1156 OG 61 (November 16, 1993) and 1157 OG 94 (December 28, 1993) (see 37 C.F.R. § 1.6(d)). NOTE: If Applicant does submit a paper by fax, the original signed copy should be retained by applicant or applicant's representative. NO DUPLICATE COPIES SHOULD BE SUBMITTED so as to avoid the processing of duplicate papers in the Office.

Official papers filed by fax should be directed to 571-273-8300. Faxed draft or informal communications with the examiner should be directed to 571-273-0893.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Lorraine Spector/, Ph.D. Primary Examiner Art Unit 1647